



United States Department of the Interior

OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS
INTERIOR BOARD OF INDIAN APPEALS
801 NORTH QUINCY STREET
SUITE 300
ARLINGTON, VA 22203

CALIFORNIA VALLEY MIWOK)	Order Vacating Decision and
TRIBE,)	Remanding
Appellant,)	
)	
v.)	
)	Docket No. IBIA 09-13-A
CENTRAL CALIFORNIA AGENCY)	
SUPERINTENDENT, BUREAU OF)	
INDIAN AFFAIRS,)	
Appellee.)	February 23, 2011

The California Valley Miwok Tribe (Tribe), through Silvia Burley, appealed to the Board of Indian Appeals (Board) from an October 16, 2008, decision (Decision) of the Central California Agency Superintendent (Superintendent), Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA).¹ The Superintendent returned, without approval, an Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (ISDA) contract proposal submitted by Burley on behalf of the Tribe for Fiscal Year 2009. The Superintendent refused to accept the proposal on the ground that “the Department of the Interior does not recognize that the [Tribe] has a governing body,” and the proposal was not submitted by a “tribal organization,” as defined in the ISDA regulations. Decision at 1 (citing 25 C.F.R. § 900.6). The Superintendent did not otherwise evaluate the contract proposal.

On December 22, 2010, the Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs (Assistant Secretary), in response to the referral by the Board in *California Valley Miwok Tribe v. Pacific Regional Director*, 51 IBIA 103 (2010), rescinded a February 11, 2005, letter of former Principal Deputy and Acting Assistant Secretary - Indian Affairs Michael D. Olsen, which had stated that BIA does not recognize any government of the Tribe. See Letter from Assistant Secretary to Yakima Dixie, Dec. 22, 2010 (Assistant Secretary’s Decision), at 6 (rescinding Olsen letter); see also *California Valley Miwok Tribe*, 51 IBIA at 112-113 (discussing Olsen letter). The Assistant Secretary also rescinded a related letter, dated


¹ Our caption of the appeal reflects the entity in whose name the appeal was filed. We express no opinion on Burley’s authority to bring the appeal in the name of the Tribe.

March 26, 2004, in which the Superintendent had advised Burley that BIA “does not yet view your tribe to be an ‘organized’ Indian Tribe.” Assistant Secretary’s Decision at 6.


As relevant to this appeal, the context in which the Superintendent issued his decision makes clear that he relied on the same position that was reflected in the Olsen letter and the March 26, 2004, letter.² Thus, in light of the Assistant Secretary’s December 22, 2010, decision to rescind those letters, the Board vacates the Superintendent’s decision and remands the matter to the Superintendent for further consideration and to determine in the first instance what, if any, effect the Assistant Secretary’s decision may have on whether the contract proposal for FY 2009 should be approved.³

Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to the Board of Indian Appeals by the Secretary of the Interior, 43 C.F.R. § 4.1, the Board vacates the Superintendent’s October 16, 2008, decision and remands the case for further proceedings.

I concur:



Steven K. Linscheid
Chief Administrative Judge



Janet A. Goodwin
Acting Administrative Judge

² The Superintendent cited, as support for his decision, *California Valley Miwok Tribe v. United States*, 424 F. Supp. 2d 197 (D.D.C. 2006). In that case, the Tribe challenged the Department’s position that the Tribe is “unorganized.” The court accepted, for purposes of its decision, that the Olsen Letter and the March 26, 2004, letter were final agency actions. *See id.* at 201 n.5. The court granted a motion by the Department to dismiss the litigation. *See id.* at 203 n.8; *see also California Valley Miwok Tribe*, 51 IBIA at 110-113 (discussing Tribe’s ISDA proposals; Olsen letter; March 26, 2004, letter; and the litigation).


³ It is possible that the issue may be moot, but we leave it for the Superintendent to consider that on remand.

March 26, 2004, in which the Superintendent had advised Burley that BIA “does not yet view your tribe to be an ‘organized’ Indian Tribe.” Assistant Secretary’s Decision at 6.


As relevant to this appeal, the context in which the Superintendent issued his decision makes clear that he relied on the same position that was reflected in the Olsen letter and the March 26, 2004, letter.² Thus, in light of the Assistant Secretary’s December 22, 2010, decision to rescind those letters, the Board vacates the Superintendent’s decision and remands the matter to the Superintendent for further consideration and to determine in the first instance what, if any, effect the Assistant Secretary’s decision may have on whether the contract proposal for FY 2009 should be approved.³

Therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to the Board of Indian Appeals by the Secretary of the Interior, 43 C.F.R. § 4.1, the Board vacates the Superintendent’s October 16, 2008, decision and remands the case for further proceedings.

I concur:



Steven K. Linscheid
Chief Administrative Judge



Janet A. Goodwin
Acting Administrative Judge

² The Superintendent cited, as support for his decision, *California Valley Miwok Tribe v. United States*, 424 F. Supp. 2d 197 (D.D.C. 2006). In that case, the Tribe challenged the Department’s position that the Tribe is “unorganized.” The court accepted, for purposes of its decision, that the Olsen Letter and the March 26, 2004, letter were final agency actions. *See id.* at 201 n.5. The court granted a motion by the Department to dismiss the litigation. *See id.* at 203 n.8; *see also California Valley Miwok Tribe*, 51 IBIA at 110-113 (discussing Tribe’s ISDA proposals; Olsen letter; March 26, 2004, letter; and the litigation).

³ It is possible that the issue may be moot, but we leave it for the Superintendent to consider that on remand.

California Valley Miwok Tribe v. Central
California Agency Superintendent, Bureau of
Indian Affairs
Docket No. IBIA 09-13-A
Order Vacating Decision and Remanding
Issued February 23, 2010
53 IBIA 51

Distribution:

Robert A. Rosette, Esq.
for California Valley Miwok
Tribe, Appellant
Rosette & Associates PC
565 W Chandler Blvd Suite 212
Chandler AZ 85225
BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Silvia Burley
10601 Escondido Place
Stockton CA 95212
BY CERTIFIED MAIL

Yakima Dixie
11178 Sheep Ranch Road
Mtn Ranch, CA 95246-9761

Chadd Everone
2140 Shattuck Ave. #602
Berkeley, CA 94704

Velma WhiteBear
213 Downing Dr.
Galt, CA 95632

Superintendent
Central California Agency, BIA
650 Capitol Mall 8-500
Sacramento, CA 95814

Regional Director
Pacific Regional Office, BIA
2800 Cottage Way
Sacramento, CA 95825

Regional Solicitor
Pacific Southwest Regional Office
U.S. Department of the Interior
2800 Cottage Way, Room E-1712
Sacramento, CA 95825

ATTN: Jane Smith
Office of the Associate Solicitor
Division of Indian Affairs
Office of the Solicitor
1849 C Street NW-- MS-6513-MIB
Washington, DC 20240